

$B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} a_1^+$: Chirality tests and resolving an ambiguity in $2\beta + \gamma$ *Michael Gronau^{†,1}, Dan Pirjol,² and Daniel Wyler¹¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Zürich, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland*²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University,
3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218*

We point out that the decays of B mesons into a vector meson and an axial-vector meson can distinguish between left and right-handed polarized mesons, in contrast to decays into two vector mesons. Measurements in $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} a_1^+$ are proposed for testing factorization and the V–A structure of the $b \rightarrow c$ current, and for resolving a discrete ambiguity in $2\beta + \gamma$.

The decays of B mesons into two vector mesons ($B \rightarrow V_1 V_2$), in which each of the two vector mesons decays to two particles whose momenta are measured, can be used to study the vector meson polarization [1]. These measurements determine separately rates for linear polarization states of the two vector mesons, which are either longitudinal (0), or transverse to their direction of motion and parallel ($\parallel$) or perpendicular ($\perp$) to one another. Certain interference terms between corresponding weak hadronic amplitudes, $\text{Im}(H_{\parallel}^* H_{\perp})$, $\text{Re}(H_0^* H_{\parallel})$ and $\text{Im}(H_0^* H_{\perp})$, can also be measured. These studies are useful in obtaining information about weak CKM phases [2], but leave discrete ambiguities in the extracted values. Polarization measurements in color-allowed $B \rightarrow D^* \rho$ decays [3] are in agreement with predictions based on factorization and on heavy quark symmetry [4]. Similar measurements in color-suppressed $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$ [5], showing deviations from factorization, lack a precise quantitative theoretical interpretation [6].

The above quantities are all invariant under the replacement $(H_0, H_{\parallel}, H_{\perp}) \rightarrow (H_0^*, H_{\parallel}^*, -H_{\perp}^*)$. Consequently, these experiments do not distinguish between right and left-handed amplitudes, $H_{\pm} = (H_{\parallel} \pm H_{\perp})/\sqrt{2}$. While they measure $|H_+|^2 + |H_-|^2 = |H_{\parallel}|^2 + |H_{\perp}|^2$, they do not determine the sign of $|H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2 = 2\text{Re}(H_{\parallel}^* H_{\perp})$. Therefore, the chiralities of the vector mesons and of the weak currents, which couple to them in the factorization approximation, cannot be tested. Knowledge of the sign of $\text{Re}(H_{\parallel}^* H_{\perp})$ in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}$, is of particular interest. It would have fixed the sign of $\cos 2\beta$ occurring in the time-dependent rate of this process [2], thereby resolving a discrete ambiguity in the weak phase β [7]. Measuring additional interference terms between dominant and small helicity amplitudes in time-dependent $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \rho^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \rho^-$ decays would have removed a sign ambiguity in $\sin(2\beta + \gamma)$.

In the present Letter we propose processes of the type $B \rightarrow VA$, involving vector and axial vector mesons, from which the above missing information can be obtained. We will use the example of $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} a_1^+$ to present three

major results: (a) a way of measuring separately rates for positive and negative helicities, (b) a V–A test based on factorization and heavy quark symmetry, and (c) a way of resolving the sign ambiguity in $\sin(2\beta + \gamma)$. We will also comment on resolving an ambiguity in determining β in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}$ by studying the process $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_1$, where K_1 is an axial vector meson.

Let us explain first the necessary condition for a possible distinction between left and right-polarized spin one mesons in hadronic B decays in which only final particle momenta are measured [8]. The polarization state of a spin one meson is analyzed through its subsequent strong or electromagnetic decay. Since the meson chirality ($\propto |H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2$) is odd under parity, terms in the decay distribution which are proportional to the chirality must involve parity-odd measurables made-up of final particle momenta. Two body decays do not permit such pseudoscalar terms. In three body decays, on the other hand, one can form a triple product $(\vec{p}_1 \times \vec{p}_2) \cdot \vec{p}_3$, involving for instance the momenta of the three final particles in the B rest frame. Although a triple product in the decay distribution is also odd under time-reversal, it is well known that it does not require time-reversal violation when there are final state interactions. Thus, a chirality measurement can be performed if the decay amplitude involves a nontrivial phase due to final state interactions.

B decays to a vector meson and an axial vector meson, $B \rightarrow VA$, in which the axial vector meson decays to three pseudoscalars $P_1 P_2 P_3$ via the chain $A \rightarrow V' P_1$, $V' \rightarrow P_2 P_3$, demonstrate our point. We note that a similar dependence on left versus right polarization does not occur in $B \rightarrow V_1 V_2$, where one vector meson decays in a chain $V_1 \rightarrow V' P_1$, $V' \rightarrow P_2 P_3$ [8]. Two processes of the type $B \rightarrow VA$ are $B \rightarrow D^{*} a_1(1230)$, $a_1 \rightarrow 3\pi$, measured some time ago [9], and $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_1(1270)$, $K_1 \rightarrow K 2\pi$, reported recently [10]. We will focus our attention mainly on the first decay, and will make a few comments on the second process.

A large sample of 18000 ± 1200 partially reconstructed $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} a_1^+$ events, combining this mode with its charge-conjugate, was reported very recently [11] with a branching ratio

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} a_1^+) = [1.20 \pm 0.07(\text{stat}) \pm 0.14(\text{syst})]\% . \quad (1)$$

The a_1 was reconstructed via the decay chain $a_1^+ \rightarrow$

* ZU-TH 13/02

[†] Permanent address: Department of Physics, Technion, 32000 Haifa, Israel

$\rho^0\pi^+$, $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, while the D^* was identified by a slow pion. We will show how to measure the sign of $|H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2$ in this process. We will also calculate separately the values of $|H_+|^2$ and $|H_-|^2$ in the factorization and heavy quark approximation and will explain how they can be measured and provide a test for V–A versus V+A. In order to simplify the discussion, we will first neglect a very small amplitude from $b \rightarrow u\bar{c}d$ which would introduce time-dependent effects via $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing. Subsequently, when discussing time-dependent measurements, we will include this small amplitude, showing how to resolve a discrete ambiguity in a measurement of the weak phase $2\beta + \gamma$.

The decay amplitude for $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}a_1^+$ can be written as a sum over polarizations of weak decay amplitudes H_i describing $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}a_1^+$, multiplying corresponding strong decay amplitudes A_i for $a_1 \rightarrow 3\pi$ ($i = 0, +, -$),

$$A(B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\pi^+(p_1)\pi^+(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)) = \sum_{i=0,+, -} H_i A_i. \quad (2)$$

We assume that the a_1 is reconstructed via $a_1^+ \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^+$, $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, as in [11]

$$A_i = A_i(a_1^+ \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^+(p_2)) + A_i(a_1^+ \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^+(p_1)). \quad (3)$$

The two terms correspond to the two possible ways of forming a ρ meson from $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs. The $a_1\rho\pi$ coupling can be written in terms of two invariant amplitudes,

$$A(a_1(p, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \rho(p', \varepsilon')\pi) = A(\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon') + B(\varepsilon \cdot p')(\varepsilon' \cdot p), \quad (4)$$

where (p, ε) and (p', ε') are the momenta and polarization vectors of the a_1 and ρ , respectively. A and B are related to the S and D -wave amplitudes through [12]

$$A = A_S + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_D \quad (5)$$

$$B = \left[-\left(1 - \frac{m_\rho}{E_\rho}\right)A_S - \left(1 + 2\frac{m_\rho}{E_\rho}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_D \right] \frac{E_\rho}{m_\rho \vec{p}_\rho^2},$$

where the ρ energy and momentum are given in the a_1 rest frame. Since the ratio of D to S amplitudes is quite small (-0.107 ± 0.016 [13]), we will neglect the D -wave component and use $A_D = 0$ in the numerical calculation below.

The strong decay amplitude of $a_1 \rightarrow 3\pi$ is obtained by convoluting the $a_1 \rightarrow \rho\pi$ amplitude (4) with the amplitude for $\rho^0(\varepsilon') \rightarrow \pi^+(p_i)\pi^-(p_j)$, which is proportional to $\varepsilon' \cdot (p_i - p_j)$. One finds

$$A(a_1^+(p, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \pi^+(p_1)\pi^+(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)) \propto C(s_{13}, s_{23})(\varepsilon \cdot p_1) + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2), \quad (6)$$

where $s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2$ are Dalitz plot variables, and

$$C(s_{13}, s_{23}) = [A + Bm_{a_1}(E_3 - E_2)]B_\rho(s_{23}) + 2AB_\rho(s_{13}). \quad (7)$$

Here $B_\rho(s_{ij}) = (s_{ij} - m_\rho^2 - im_\rho\Gamma_\rho)^{-1}$, and pion energies are given in the a_1 rest frame.

It is convenient to express the B meson decay distribution in the rest frame of the a_1 resonance [8]. We introduce a unit vector normal to the decay plane of the three pions, $\hat{n} \equiv (\vec{p}_1 \times \vec{p}_2)/|\vec{p}_1 \times \vec{p}_2|$, and denote by θ the angle between $\hat{n}$ and the direction $\hat{z}$ opposite to the D^* (or B) momentum. p_1 and p_2 are by convention [8] the momenta of the slow and fast positively charged pions, respectively. The decay amplitude into final hadronic states depends also on two other (Euler) angles ϕ and ψ . ϕ is an angle in the a_1 decay plane (I), defining the direction of one of the three pions (say p_3), while ψ defines the line of intersection of the D^* decay plane with a plane (II) perpendicular to $\hat{z}$. Both angles are measured with respect to the line of intersection of the two planes I and II. With these notations and convention, squaring the amplitude (2) and integrating over ϕ and ψ , one finds for the decay distribution in θ

$$\int \int d\phi d\psi |A(B \rightarrow D^*3\pi)|^2 \propto |H_0|^2 \sin^2 \theta |\vec{J}|^2 \quad (8)$$

$$+ (|H_+|^2 + |H_-|^2) \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) |\vec{J}|^2$$

$$+ (|H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2) \cos \theta \text{Im}[(\vec{J} \times \vec{J}^*) \cdot \hat{n}].$$

The vector $\vec{J}$ is defined in the rest frame of the a_1 resonance,

$$\vec{J} = C(s_{13}, s_{23})\vec{p}_1 + C(s_{23}, s_{13})\vec{p}_2. \quad (9)$$

Note that this expression does not depend on the momenta of the D^* decay products.

A fit to the angular decay distribution (8) enables separate measurements of the three terms $|H_0|^2$, $|H_+|^2 + |H_-|^2$ and $|H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2$. In particular, one can measure the overall up-down asymmetry of the D^* (or B) momentum direction with respect to the a_1 decay plane,

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{3}{2} \langle \cos \theta \rangle = \left(\frac{3}{2} R \right) \frac{|H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2}{|H_0|^2 + |H_+|^2 + |H_-|^2}, \quad (10)$$

where R is defined as

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle \text{Im} [\hat{n} \cdot (\vec{J} \times \vec{J}^*)] \text{sgn}(s_{13} - s_{23}) \rangle}{\langle |\vec{J}|^2 \rangle}, \quad (11)$$

and can be computed using Eqs. (7) and (9). Integration over the entire Dalitz plot yields a negative value $R = -0.158$.

The three helicity amplitudes $H_{0,\pm}$ in $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}a_1^+$, can be calculated using factorization [14] and heavy quark symmetry [15]. For a V–A current $\bar{c}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b$ we find [4]

$$H_0 = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{ud}^* a_1(D^* a_1) f_{a_1} \sqrt{m_B m_{D^*}} \quad (12)$$

$$\times \frac{m_B - m_{D^*}}{m_{a_1}} (y + 1) \xi(y),$$

$$H_\pm = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{ud}^* a_1(D^* a_1) f_{a_1} \sqrt{m_B m_{D^*}} \quad (13)$$

$$\times [-(y + 1) \pm \sqrt{y^2 - 1}] \xi(y).$$

Here $\xi(y)$ is the value of the Isgur-Wise function [15] at $y = (m_B^2 + m_{D^*}^2 - m_{a_1}^2)/(2m_B m_{D^*}) = 1.43$, f_{a_1} is the a_1 decay constant and $a_1(D^* a_1)$ is a QCD factor which is close to one. The numerical values of these factors do not affect the polarization prediction, for which one uses the normalization $|H_0|^2 + |H_+|^2 + |H_-|^2 = 1$:

$$|H_0|^2 = 0.75, \quad |H_+|^2 = 0.21, \quad |H_-|^2 = 0.04. \quad (14)$$

These values imply an up-down asymmetry $\mathcal{A} = -0.042$, which is measurable with about 5000 $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} a_1^+$ and $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} a_1^-$ events. About three times as many events were observed in [11] in partially reconstructed decays, which introduces a dilution factor due to uncertainties in the D^* direction. This dilution is avoided in fully reconstructed events, for which present statistics seem to be sufficient [16].

The predictions (14) of the Standard Model apply to B^0 decays. In $\bar{B}^0$ decays the values of $|H_+|^2$ and $|H_-|^2$ are interchanged. We also note that for a V+A current the $y+1$ terms in Eqs (12) and (13) change sign which corresponds to interchanging $|H_+|^2$ and $|H_-|^2$. This implies a unique signature for a V-A current, following from Eq. (10) and (14) and from the negative value of R . Namely, in the a_1^+ rest frame the B^0 and D^{*-} prefer to move in the hemisphere defined by $\vec{p}(\text{slow } \pi^+) \times \vec{p}(\text{fast } \pi^+)$, while in $\bar{B}^0$ they prefer to move in the opposite hemisphere.

Next let us consider the time-dependent rate of $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} a_1^+$ following from interference of two amplitudes [17], A from $\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{c} u \bar{d}$ and $\bar{A}$ from $b \rightarrow u \bar{c} d$ ($f = D^{*-}(3\pi)^+$),

$$\begin{aligned} A \equiv A(B^0 \rightarrow f) &= \sum_{i=0,\parallel,\perp} H_i A_i, \\ \bar{A} \equiv A(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f) &= \sum_{i=0,\parallel,\perp} h_i A_i, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where A_i are calculable *complex* functions of θ , ψ and ϕ as explained above. This resembles the situation in $B \rightarrow D^* \rho$, in which instead of $A_{0,\parallel}$ and $A_\perp$ one has $g_{0,\parallel}$ and $ig_\perp$, respectively, where g_i are *real* geometric functions [18]. The three coefficients of the time-dependent rate,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(B^0(t) \rightarrow f) &\propto (|A|^2 + |\bar{A}|^2) + (|A|^2 - |\bar{A}|^2) \cos \Delta mt \\ &+ 2\text{Im}(e^{2i\beta} A \bar{A}^*) \sin \Delta mt, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

involve bilinear expressions in H_i and h_i multiplying calculable functions of the angle variables, which after integration over ϕ are given by $R_{ij} \equiv (1/2\pi) \int d\phi \text{Re}(A_i A_j^*)$ and $I_{ij} \equiv (1/2\pi) \int d\phi \text{Im}(A_i A_j^*)$, ($i, j = 0, \parallel, \perp$):

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} &= \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta |\vec{J}|^2, \quad R_{\parallel\parallel} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos^2 \psi \sin^2 \theta) |\vec{J}|^2, \\ R_{\perp\perp} &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \sin^2 \psi \sin^2 \theta) |\vec{J}|^2, \quad R_{0\parallel} = \sin \psi \sin \theta J_n^2, \\ R_{0\perp} &= \frac{1}{4} \sin \psi \sin 2\theta |\vec{J}|^2, \quad R_{\parallel\perp} = \cos \theta J_n^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{0\parallel} &= -\frac{1}{4} \cos \psi \sin 2\theta |\vec{J}|^2, \quad I_{0\perp} = -\cos \psi \sin \theta J_n^2, \\ I_{\parallel\perp} &= -\frac{1}{4} \sin 2\psi \sin^2 \theta |\vec{J}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $J_n^2 \equiv (1/2) \text{Im}[(\vec{J} \times \vec{J}^*) \cdot \hat{n}]$.

The constant and $\cos \Delta mt$ terms in (16) determine the real and imaginary parts of $H_i H_j^*$ (and $h_i h_j^*$) for *all* pairs of transversity amplitudes, while the coefficient of $\sin \Delta mt$ contain terms

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Im}[e^{2i\beta} (H_i h_j^* A_i A_j^* + H_j h_i^* A_j A_i^*)] \\ &= \text{Im}[e^{2i\beta} (H_i h_j^* + H_j h_i^*)] \text{Re}(A_i A_j^*) \\ &+ \text{Re}[e^{2i\beta} (H_i h_j^* - H_j h_i^*)] \text{Im}(A_i A_j^*), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which fix both $\text{Im}[e^{2i\beta} (H_i h_j^* + H_j h_i^*)]$ and $\text{Re}[e^{2i\beta} (H_i h_j^* - H_j h_i^*)]$ for *all* pairs i, j . This situation differs from decays into two vector mesons [18], which do not depend on $\text{Im}(H_0 H_\parallel^*)$, $\text{Re}(H_0 H_\perp^*)$ and $\text{Re}(H_\parallel H_\perp^*)$, and which contain only the first term in (18) for $i, j = 0, \parallel$ and $i = j = \perp$ and the second term for all other values of i and j . Writing $H_i = |H_i| \exp(i\Delta_i)$, $h_i = |h_i| \exp(i\delta_i) \exp(-i\gamma)$ where Δ_i and δ_i are strong interaction phases, and using the above and similar information from $B^0(t) \rightarrow \bar{f}$, enables a determination of $2\beta + \gamma$ without having to measure $|h_i|^2$. While a similar study of $B \rightarrow D^* \rho$ leads to a two-fold ambiguity in the sign of $\sin(2\beta + \gamma)$, the new terms in (18) occurring in $B \rightarrow D^* a_1$ resolve the ambiguity. Detailed algebra will be presented elsewhere [19].

We wish to make a few comments on the process $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$ from which the value of $\sin 2\beta$ can be determined [2]. We will suggest a possible way by learning the sign of $\cos 2\beta$ which would remove a two-fold ambiguity in β [7]. As mentioned in the introduction, fixing the sign of $\cos 2\beta$ in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$ requires knowledge of the sign of $|H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2$ in this process. A heuristic argument, using the positive helicity of the $\bar{s}$ quark in $\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{c}_L c_L \bar{s}_L$ seems to suggest that this sign is positive in B^0 decays and negative in $\bar{B}^0$ decays. This argument may, however, be affected by final state interactions which can flip the $\bar{s}$ quark helicity within the K^* . In fact, a nonzero final state interaction phase $\text{Arg}(H_0^* H_\parallel)$ was measured in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$ [5].

While the sign of $|H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2$ in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$ cannot be measured directly, a similar quantity can be measured in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_1$, where $K_1(1400)$ is an axial vector meson decaying to $K\pi\pi$. A quark spin argument suggests here too that the sign is positive in B decays and negative in $\bar{B}$ decays. An experimental confirmation that the sign is unaffected by final state interactions would be a useful indication, although not an unambiguous proof, that this is true also in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$. The sign of $|H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2$ in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_1$ can be determined by measuring in the K_1 rest frame an up-down asymmetry of the J/ψ (or B) relative to the $K\pi\pi$ decay plane. A quantity R , defined as in Eq. (11), was calculated recently [8] for $K_1^+(1400) \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$ and $K_1^0(1400) \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and was found to be positive in both processes, $R = +0.22 \pm 0.03$. Assuming

that in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_1$ one has $|H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2 > 0$, the up-down asymmetry of the J/ψ relative to the K_1 decay plane is then expected to be opposite in sign relative to the asymmetry in $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} a_1^+$ where R was found to be negative. This would serve as an indirect measure of the sign of $|H_+|^2 - |H_-|^2$ in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$.

Let us conclude with a few remarks concerning the chiral structure of the b to c weak current, for which a test was proposed here in $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} a_1^+$. In certain extensions of the Standard Model, such as a left-right symmetric model, one expects deviations from a pure V–A structure [20]. It was suggested [21] that such a modification could explain a small discrepancy between exclusive and inclusive determinations of $|V_{cb}|$. Several earlier tests of the chiral structure of the b to c weak current were reviewed in [22]. It was noted [23] that measurements of angular correlations in semileptonic de-

cays $B \rightarrow (D^* \rightarrow D\pi) e\bar{\nu}$ [24] cannot distinguish between V–A and V+A couplings, corresponding to W_L and W_R exchange, respectively. This ambiguity may, in principle, be removed by studying decays of polarized Λ_b baryons [25], such as produced in e^+e^- annihilation at the Z peak. However, the small Λ_b polarization measured at LEP [26] seems to prohibit such a test. The large number of $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} a_1^+$ events observed at $e^+e^- B$ factories [11] provide an opportunity for answering this question.

We thank J. L. Rosner and V. Sharma for useful comments and A. Hardmeier for checking a numerical calculation. This work was supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, by the U. S. – Israel Binational Science Foundation through Grant No. 98-00237, by the U.S. NSF Grant PHY-9970781 and by the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds.

-
- [1] I. Dunietz, H. R. Quinn, A. Snyder, W. Toki and H. J. Lipkin, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 2193 (1991); G. Krammer, and W. F. Palmer, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 193 (1992); A. S. Dighe, I. Dunietz, H. J. Lipkin and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Lett. B **369**, 144 (1996); C. W. Chiang and L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 074031 (2000).
 - [2] *The BABAR Physics Book*, ed. P. F. Harrison and H. R. Quinn, SLAC Report SLAC-R-504, 1998.
 - [3] CLEO Collaboration, M. S. Alam *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 43 (1994); CLEO Collaboration, G. Bonvicini *et al.*, Conference Report No. CLEO CONF 98-23.
 - [4] J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 3732 (1990); Z. Luo and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 094001 (2001).
 - [5] CLEO Collaboration, C. P. Jessop *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4533 (1997); CDF Collaboration, T. Affolder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4668 (2000); BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 241801 (2001); Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **538**, 11 (2002).
 - [6] T. W. Yeh and H. N. Li, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 1615 (1997); H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Lett. B **395**, 345 (1997); H. Y. Cheng and K. C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 074011 (2001); M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert and C. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B **591**, 313 (2000); M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 117503 (2001).
 - [7] See e.g. A. Hocker, H. Lacker, S. Laplace and F. Le Diberder, Eur. Phys. J. C **21**, 225 (2001).
 - [8] A similar argument was presented recently for radiative B decays by M. Gronau, Y. Grossman, D. Pirjol and A. Ryd, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 051802 (2002); M. Gronau and D. Pirjol, hep-ph/0205065, to be published in Phys. Rev. D. In principle, the vector meson chirality can also be analyzed through the spin polarization of its decay products.
 - [9] ARGUS Collaboration, H. Albrecht *et al.*, Zeit. Phys. C **48**, 543 (1990); CLEO Collaboration, M. S. Alam *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 21 (1992), *ibid.* **50**, 43 (1994).
 - [10] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 161601 (2001).
 - [11] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Conference Report BABAR-CONF-02/10, SLAC-PUB-9315, hep-ex/0207085.
 - [12] N. Isgur, C. Morningstar and C. Reader, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 1357 (1989); M. Feindt, Z. Phys. C **48**, 681 (1990).
 - [13] Particle Data Group, K. Hagiwara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 010001 (2000).
 - [14] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, C. T. Sachrajda, Ref. [6]; C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 201806 (2001).
 - [15] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **237**, 527 (1990).
 - [16] V. Sharma, private communication.
 - [17] M. Gronau, Phys. Lett. B **233**, 479 (1989); R. Aleksan, I. Dunietz, B. Kayser and F. Le Diberder, Nucl. Phys. B **361**, 141 (1991); I. Dunietz, Phys. Lett. B **427**, 179 (1998).
 - [18] D. London, N. Sinha and R. Sinha, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1807 (2000).
 - [19] M. Gronau, D. Pirjol and D. Wyler, work in progress.
 - [20] M. Gronau and S. Wakaizumi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1814 (1992).
 - [21] M. B. Voloshin, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **12**, 1823 (1997); see also T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 055009 (1998).
 - [22] M. Gronau, in *B Decays*, 2nd ed., edited by S. Stone (World scientific, Singapore, 1994), p. 644.
 - [23] M. Gronau and S. Wakaizumi, Phys. Lett. B **280**, 79 (1992).
 - [24] CLEO Collaboration, S. Sanghera *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 791 (1993); CLEO Collaboration, J. E. Duboscq *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3898 (1996).
 - [25] J. A. Amundson, J. L. Rosner and M. P. Worah, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1260 (1993); M. Gronau and S. Wakaizumi, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1262 (1993).
 - [26] ALEPH Collaboration, D. Buskulic *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **365**, 437 (1996); OPAL Collaboration, G. Abbiendi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **444**, 539 (1998); DELPHI Collaboration, P. Abreu *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **474**, 205 (2000).